

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/694,653	10/23/2000	John Overman	5384/55100	3830
7590 10/08/2004		EXAMINER		
KEITH E GEORGE, ESQ.			KRIZEK, JANICE LEE	
MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13TH STREET, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20005-3096		3652	
			DATE MAILED: 10/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		() (
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/694,653	OVERMAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Janice L. Krizek	3652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. a reply within the statutory minimum of thinteriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	02 July 2004.				
	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex part</i> e Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-50 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the α 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 09/694,653

Art Unit: 3652

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-7 and 15-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Belgian Patent No. 628233.

The Belgian patent discloses an autotraying system including means 172, 180 for engaging a tray 170.

3. Claims 8-14 and 40-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Hendrickson et al.

4. Applicant's arguments filed July 2, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants state that the Belgian patent does not combine multiple small stacks into a single large stack and is unrelated to mail processing. However, the conveying means depicted in Figs. 1-3 of the Belgian patent feeds a stream of articles to separating means which meters four articles (the small stack) at a time to form a large stack consisting of eight articles. The conveying and separating means of the Belgian patent are fully capable of handling stacks of mailpieces.

Applicants also state that Hendrickson et al. does not combine multiple small stacks of mailpieces into a single large stack. However, according to the Abstract of Hendrickson et al., "mail items are sorted... into a plurality of subsets of mail items" (the small stacks) "mail items are then collated and merged into a single output stream from the respective subsets of mail items... Portions of the output stream... are collected in batches" (the large stack) "which maintain the sequence".

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 09/694,653

Art Unit: 3652

4

Page 4

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Janice Krizek whose telephone number is (703) 308-2026. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 11:30 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis, can be reached at (703) 308-3248. The central official fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Amice L. Krizek

Janice L. Krizek

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 3600